IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.517 of 2008
BANKE RAUT
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
---

2. 4.12.2008. This revision has been preferred against the order of acquittal dated 29th February,2008 passed by F.T.C.III, Saran, Chapra in Sessions Trial No.1039 of 1994.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the court below has not properly appreciated oral or documentary evidence and has wrongly acquitted the opposite parties. It is further submitted that the court below has also not properly considered the injuries inflicted upon the victim by the opposite parties.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

On perusal of the impugned judgment, it is quite apparent that neither the doctor nor the I.O. has been examined in this case. There is land dispute between the parties. The case has primarily been filed as a result of land dispute.

In the facts and circumstances, I find no material to interfere in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the same is hereby rejected.

(Ghanshyam Prasad)

N.H./